

## Neighbourhood Plan Working Group Wednesday 10<sup>th</sup> August 2016 at 2:00 PM

### Cricklade Town Council Chambers

#### Notes

Cllr Mark Clarke <i>Chairman</i> (MC)	Cllr John Coole (JC)	Lesley Cowley (LC)
Duncan May <i>CTC Deputy Town Clerk</i> (DTC)	Cllr Chris Hodgson (CH)	Cllr Ruth Szybiak

#### 1) Apologies for absence.

Cllr BJ , TR & PB

#### 2) To consider and approve the notes from the meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> June 2016

The Neighbourhood Plan Notes from 30<sup>th</sup> June 2016 were approved by the WG.

#### 3) To Consider the Action Points from the above meeting where not a separate item on the agenda.

There were no action points that were not on the agenda.

#### 4) Project Plan update

RS- mentioned that she was out of date with the Project Plan as this has not been updated recently. The Project Plan was due to be updated by LB and forwarded to the WG. **Action MC to ask LB**

#### 5) To Note the Policy Summary document attached.

The WG NOTED the Policy Summary Document and discussed how to consult the policies and Draft Plan with the residents of Cricklade. The WG discussed how the residents could be assisted in engaging with the Draft Plan:

- The WG favours a concise document which is put through everyones door with a reference to the full document.
- MC- suggested a town meeting where people can refer to the complete document after the meeting.
- The Draft Plan should not be duplicating any of WC's Core Strategy policies, though the Linkworker should be picking this up.
- The WG were concerned that residents would not access the Draft Plan through the website to look at the full document.
- JC- make a more interesting , simplified document to keep people engaged.
- It is very important to evidence that we have engaged with residents.
- MC- Check with LB Planning to see how other towns have approached this issue.

#### 6) To discuss V5 of the Draft Plan .

The Draft Plan's appearance will improve when the graphics are attended to, this is all now in place and should be completed after the August break.

The WG went through the draft NP V5, not line by line but issues that have been amended or still need amending are:

Specific Points:

- The population chart shows no figures for 1941 as there was not a census that year. The charts show the population has risen 10% in the last 30 years.
- The Draft Plan needs to show the settlement boundary changes after the Beechcroft Appeal. Policy H1 has been updated with Beechcroft included. The last sentence in Policy H1 has been removed as irrelevant.
- 4.1.2 This paragraph needs clarifying . The WG were unsure whether the plan should mention the numbers of dwellings. There is a Land Housing Supply review out later this year and a Core Strategy Review next year.
- 4.1.3 The Settlement Boundary is proposed not revised . The text box in the settlement boundary map needs removing.
- P15. The table here has been amended so it adds up correctly.
- P 15. 4.1.8 - MC . added a paragraph and an appendix.
- P19, Policy H7 . ~~Five~~ dwellings or more should address the local need for housingq. change to ~~ten~~ dwellingsq
- P20. 4.1.15 Garage size and parking provision, WC state garage minimum size 6m x 3m counts as parking provision. This needs checking with WC as this seem to contradict earlier WC guidelines. **Action DTC**
- P22. Policy H10 Water Management - No response so far from WC on this MC to contact HT on this issue. **Action MC**
- P23. Policy TT1- The WG questioned the word significantly in the 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph. It was AGREED to take out the whole of this sentence. In the 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph take out ~~±Where~~ the impact is significantq.
- P25- 4.3.1- take out the number of businesses as we have no correct information on the number of businesses in Cricklade.
- P26. Policy B1 . The WG AGREED this policy is confusing and possibly needs rewriting. In the first paragraph ~~±resisted~~qneeds changing to ~~±not supported~~q
- P27. Policy B2 . The Town Centre Area is marked by a blue line in fig 7. This area is under review and will need to be extended to include other retail category A1 uses.
- Policy B2 . needs to mention residential accommodation behind shop fronts. The WG AGREED to take out the final sentence ~~±Residential use will not normally be permitted at ground floor level~~q
- P31. 4.4.1 The table has been rewritten, to make it understandable. MC- commented that the C&L Committee should familiarise themselves with the WC Review of Open Space as this is part of the Core Strategy Review.
- Fig 9 map same in Draft Plan V5 as V4.
- P35. Policy LAF4 . List is the same but ~~±the~~ Stankqrenamed
- P36. 4.4.10 New paragraphs written by MC on the canal and railway.
- P37. Policy LAF8 List of important local buildings that are of importance to the town but not listed. The WG NOTED that there are some listed buildings outside the Conservation area.
- P38 Policy LAF9 . The Draft Plan now has a Community Facilities list. The WG considered whether the dentist & opticians should be included.
- **Other Items:**
- The WG AGREED to a reference to how residents approve of the design and layout of Stockham Close. **Action MC**
- Wiltshire Council local connection policy needs to be referenced in the Draft Plan **Action DTC**
- A list of suitable photographs has been supplied by the commissioned photographer.
- MOP offered pictures of the flooding in 2007 which might be useful.

- Maps . WC has offered to supply maps for the Draft Plan. . **Action MC to chase up**
- CP19 from the Core Strategy to be included.

### **7) To Consider working with LB Planning**

LB has sent an invoice through, the WG went through LB's brief to see if all has been done that was agreed/what if any is left to do.

MC- No point LB coming to another meeting if we can resolve what needs doing on the Draft Plan through the use of e-mail. It was considered important that LB was asked if we have a good enough Draft Plan to show to WC and it will stand up to scrutiny.

The WG considered the other items that LB can assist with that were not included in the original contract. These could include: assistance with the Basic Conditions Statement and submission of plan and/or support for post submission.

### **8) To receive an update on :**

a) **Extra care facility.** None

b) **Culverhay Development.**

None, this is ongoing S106 issues being resolved. Greensquare are keen to start Stage 1 of the development. .

c) **Developer Activity, Beechcroft (Land at The Forty)**

Appeal was successful, no more news as yet.

**Stones Farm .** DTC in contact with DPDS Consultants but this has gone quiet since their presentation to PCT.

**Hannick Homes .** None

**Bloor Homes .** DTC- the consultant, SF Planning was on holiday will contact again when she is back.

**Dialogue with NEW – V .** Letter has been sent to Purton Parish Council wishing them well in their NP.

### **9) Any Items for recommendation to Planning Committee**

S106 agreement to be reviewed by PCT and C&L Committees, so we can be more proactive with developers.

### **10) Any Other Business and Items for future agenda**

- The WG expressed the importance of being positive about the NP as real progress was being made.
- Plans to be made for a future public meeting.
- Plans to be made for future referendum planning.
- Itemise what tasks we still have to do.

Next meeting 30<sup>th</sup> August at 3pm DTC to send out an e-mail confirming this date. Suitable dates for the next meetings to be determined on this date.

Meeting ended 17:10 pm  
Duncan May  
25<sup>th</sup> August 2016