

Cricklade Neighbourhood Plan Working Party

NOTES FROM THE MEETING

Monday 18th July 2013 at 6pm in the Town Council Chamber

NPWP Members Present: Rachel Stringer (Chairman (RS)), Cllr John Coole (JC), John Harmer (JH), David Tetlow (DT) Tim Russell (TR), Charlotte Rogers-Jones (CRJ), Town Clerk. In attendance but not voting: Cllr Jones

| | ACTION |
|--|---|
| <p>Apologies. Ruth Syzbiak and Mark Clarke.</p> <p>1. To consider notes from 1st July 2013. Agreed by all.</p> <p>2. To consider notes from 3rd July 2013. Bob Jones to be added to those present at the meeting.</p> <p>3. To consider action points from the meetings. All actions had been completed.</p> <p>4. To consider the issues raised by Tim Russell from his attendance at the Core Strategy Examination in Public TR raised the issue that many developers across the county believed that Neighbourhood Planning would not work, nor have any impact and therefore more must be done to engage with developers. Developers are able to produce evidence to demonstrate that they are trying to engage with local authorities, but that the engagement is not happening in reverse.</p> <p>Tim suggested that developers be invited to attend the relevant focus group meetings and to produce their evidence in written form. All developers should be treated equally and be asked to provide information based on a series of questions determined by the working party. Known developers in Cricklade include David Wilson Homes, Mr Mobey, Hayes Concept at Horsey Down, Beechcroft Land and Chris Smith at Stones Farm. There might be more land identified by the town council that is fit for development and this would be a consideration for the NPWP. A further contingency plan for other sites for development would also need to be provided.</p> <p>He suggested that developers present their proposals to the NP Focus Group and then allow the focus groups to decide if the plans meet the criteria. The group needed to be proactive in inviting them to participate in a discussion. This would be over and above their entitlement to present to the Town Council Planning Committee.</p> <p>He asked if the minutes from the working party and focus groups could be placed in the public domain and whether members of the public could attend the working party meetings. It was agreed that this matter would need to be considered by full town council. It was suggested that the Fire Officer be invited to submit any concerns that the Fire Authority might have in relation to future development in Cricklade.</p> <p>A letter had been sent to the Swindon to Cricklade Railway, but allegedly never received, so the letter needed to be resent to Adrian Crafer, asking for confirmation of receipt. They would be formally invited to respond to the consultation. At the same time the letter received from the Wilts and Berks Canal Trust also needs to be considered.</p> <p>5. To consider the issues raised by John Harmer JH felt it important that the NPWP consider a new consultation, giving the public some scenarios to consider and asking them what their preferred choice would be. This would be linked to the main themes of the former consultation, stating that the new questions have arisen for the evidence collected previously. There would need to be explanations to each scenario and sufficient time given to allow for a wide consultation. It was suggested that a public event be organised with particular emphasis place on the 18 – 40 year olds, who had proved to be the hard-to-reach group. An article to publicise this would need to appear in the next edition of the Chronicle.</p> | <p></p> <p></p> <p></p> <p></p> <p>CRJ</p> <p></p> <p>CRJ</p> <p>CRJ</p> <p></p> <p>CRJ</p> |

| | |
|---|----------------|
| <p>6. To consider a request from David Wilson Homes to speak to the NPWP This item was considered in item 4 above.</p> | |
| <p>7. To consider a request from Beechcroft Land Limited to speak to the NPWP This item was considered in item 4 above.</p> | |
| <p>8. To consider a request from Wiltshire Council to make a presentation at a future meeting on the site and provision of a Care Home in Cricklade BJ informed the meeting that the Care Home Officer (James Corley) from Wiltshire Council wanted to come and address the focus group. BJ would make the arrangements via CRJ.</p> | BJ |
| <p>9. To consider providing information/feedback to the WC Extra Care Facility team It was suggested that the team contact James Corley directly.</p> | |
| <p>10. To consider the diary of meetings of the focus groups Arrangements had been made for a weekly focus group meeting to take place on Wednesday at 7pm in the Council Chamber. The forth meeting would be a catch up for the NPWP.</p> | All to note |
| <p>11. To consider text for the August edition of The Chronicle It was agreed that the article needed to mention the weekly meetings of the focus groups, to mention that a consultation would be taking place in the next few weeks and for people to look out for it, and to direct people to the NP website.</p> | CRJ |
| <p>12. Update on the Grant Application process CRJ had completed the online application and it was ready to process once RS had given her approval.</p> | RS |
| <p>13. Next Steps/Items for future consideration RS had received the offer of members of the NPWP attending a strategy writing workshop. RS would invite members to attend once it was arranged.</p> | RS |
| <p>RS had been contacted by Wiltshire Council's Economic Development team about Cricklade's involvement with Neighbourhood Planning.</p> | RS |
| <p>DT asked for more content on the NP website. It was agreed that Cricklade Town Council would be asked to make this decision at its meeting on 29 July.</p> | CRJ |
| <p>The meeting closed at 7.50pm</p> | |